IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition NO. 4763 /2005

SERVICE MATTER

PETITIONER : Arun Kumar Verma
S/o. Ved Prakash
Aged about 20 years
R/o. Daterenga, Post Deori
Tahsil Bhatapara, District
Raipur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through : The Secretary
Panchayat Department
Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.)

2. Gram Panchayat Datrenga
Post Deori, Tahsil Bhatapara
District -Raipur (C.G.)

3. Balram Sahu,
aged about 36 years
S/o. Kartik Ram, Panchayat Karmi
Gram Panchayat Datrenga
Post Deori, Tahsil Bhatapara
District -Raipur (C.G.)

4. Chief Executive Officer
Janpad Panchayat,
Bhatapara, Dist. Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4396/05
Presented by Shri B.L. Dembare
dated 30/8/05

30/8/05

RECEIVED-COPY
30/8/05
CLERK H.C. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION U/A 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. NO. 4763 **सन् 2005**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

18.10.2005

Shri B.L. Dembra, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Arun Sao, Dy.G.A. for the State on advance copy.

I.A.No.8432/2005

This is an application for urgent hearing. The matter is taken up on Board. This interim application stands disposed of.

Heard.

The petitioner, who was a candidate for appointment to the post of *Panchayat Karmi* in the Gram Panchayat, Datranga and was not appointed to the said post, has filed this petition challenging the selection of respondent no.3.

The submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner is more qualified than respondent no.3. Learned counsel also submits that the appointment of respondent no.3 is a result of the irregularities committed by the appointing authority. He further submits that due to all these reasons, the petitioner had filed an application before the Janpad Panchayat, Bhatapara and on this, some enquiry was initiated vide Annexure P-3. Learned counsel submits that after initiation of the enquiry, no steps have been taken so far.

In the facts and circumstances of this case, I am not inclined to interfere in this writ petition, at this stage. However, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is issued for completion of enquiry initiated vide Annexure P-3 dated 23.4.2005 which seems to be a memo issued by the competent

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... W.P. NO. 4763 **सन् 2005**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	authority of the Janpad Panchayat, Bhatapara to the Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat, Datranga for production of the entire records pertaining to the aforesaid appointment of respondent no.3. It is hereby directed that the enquiry initiated vide Annexure P-3 shall be completed by the concerned authority as early as possible preferably within a period of 8 weeks from the date of receipt/production of certified copy of this order before the said authority alongwith a copy of this writ petition filed by the petitioner before this Court. With the aforesaid observations and directions this petition stands finally disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P.No.3850/2005 also stands disposed of. C.C. as per rules. Sd/- Sunil Kumar Sinha Judge	

Rao

24.10.06 13.1.06 31/3/12